FILED

STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA 1 OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL JUN 122013 **JAYNE KIM, No. 174614** STATE BAR COURT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL **CLERK'S OFFICE** 3 JOSEPH R. CARLUCCI, No. 172309 DEPUTY CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL LOS ANGELES MELANIE J. LAWRENCE, No. 230102 4 ASSISTANT CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL ADRIANA M. BURGER, No. 92534 DEPUTY TRIAL COUNSEL **PUBLIC MATTER** 1149 South Hill Street Los Angeles, California 90015-2299 7 Telephone: (213) 765-1229 8 9 10 STATE BAR COURT HEARING DEPARTMENT - LOS ANGELES 11 12 Case No. 13-O-10654 13 In the Matter of: NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY CHARGES 14 MICHAEL PATRICK RIDLEY, 15 No. 54409, 16 A Member of the State Bar. 17 18 **NOTICE - FAILURE TO RESPOND!** 19 IF YOU FAIL TO FILE A WRITTEN ANSWER TO THIS NOTICE WITHIN 20 DAYS AFTER SERVICE, OR IF YOU FAIL TO APPEAR AT 20 THE STATE BAR COURT TRIAL: 21 (1) YOUR DEFAULT WILL BE ENTERED; (2) YOUR STATUS WILL BE CHANGED TO INACTIVE AND YOU 22 WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PRACTICE LAW; (3) YOU WILL NOT BE PERMITTED TO PARTICIPATE FURTHER IN 23 THESE PROCEEDINGS UNLESS YOU MAKE A TIMELY MOTION AND THE DEFAULT IS SET ASIDE, AND; 24 (4) YOU SHALL BE SUBJECT TO ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINE. SPECIFICALLY, IF YOU FAIL TO TIMELY MOVE TO SET ASIDE 25 OR VACATE YOUR DEFAULT, THIS COURT WILL ENTER AN ORDER RECOMMENDING YOUR DISBARMENT WITHOUT 26 FURTHER HEARING OR PROCEEDING. SEE RULE 5.80 ET SEQ., RULES OF PROCEDURE OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA. 27

28

The State Bar of California alleges:

JURISDICTION

1. MICHAEL PATRICK. RIDLEY ("Respondent") was admitted to the practice of law in the State of California on December 14, 1972, was a member at all times pertinent to these charges, and is currently a member of the State Bar of California.

COUNT ONE

Case No. 13-O-10654
Business and Professions Code, section 6068(k)
[Failure to Comply With Conditions of Probation]

- 2. Respondent wilfully violated Business and Professions Code, section 6068(k), by failing to comply with all conditions attached to any disciplinary probation, as follows:
- 3. On or about May 17, 2012, the California Supreme Court filed an Order in Case No. S198709 (State Bar Court Case Nos. 08-C-10827 and 08-O-13057) that Respondent be suspended from the practice of law for three (3) years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that Respondent be placed on probation for four (4) years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its October 25, 2011, Decision and Order, including the condition that the Respondent be actually suspended for the first six (6) months of probation ("Disciplinary Order").
- 4. On or about May 17, 2012, the Clerk of the California Supreme Court properly served upon Respondent a copy of the Disciplinary Order. Respondent received the Disciplinary Order.
 - 5. The Disciplinary Order became effective on or about June 16, 2012.
- 6. Pursuant to the Disciplinary Order, Respondent was ordered to comply with the following terms and conditions of probation, among others:
 - To submit to the Office of Probation written quarterly reports each January 10, April 10, July 10 and October 10 during the period of probation, certifying by declaration under penalty of perjury whether he has complied with the State Bar Act, the Rules of Professional Conduct, and all conditions of

probation during the preceding calendar quarter and stating whether there are any proceedings pending against Respondent in the State Bar Court.

- b) To comply with all conditions of probation in the underlying criminal matter and to so declare under penalty of perjury, in each quarterly report required to be submitted to the Office of Probation commencing with the October 10, 2012 report.
- c) To obtain a mental and physical examination with respect to Respondent's substance abuse issue pursuant to rule 5.68 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California from a qualified practitioner approved by the Office of Probation no later than thirty (30) days after the effective date of the Disciplinary Order (which was July 16, 2012) and to submit to the Office of Probation with the required quarterly reports sufficient evidence that he has complied with this condition.
- d) To commence treatment/monitoring as recommended by the examining practitioner immediately following such examination and no later than thirty (30) days after said examination and to submit to the Office of Probation with the required quarterly reports satisfactory evidence that he has complied with this condition.
- e) To comply with the treatment/monitoring plan recommended by the examining practitioner and to submit to the Office of Probation with each required quarterly report, sufficient evidence that he is complying with the treatment/monitoring plan.
- 7. On or about June 11, 2012, the Office of Probation issued a courtesy letter to
 Respondent reminding him of the terms and conditions of his probation and his obligations under
 the Disciplinary Order. Respondent received the letter.
- 8. In his quarterly reports due on October 10, 2012, January 10, 2013 and April 10, 2013, Respondent failed to declare under penalty of perjury that he complied with the all the terms and conditions of his probation in the underlying criminal matter.

- 9. Respondent failed to submit proof to the Office of Probation with the required quarterly reports, that he complied with the condition that he obtain a mental and physical examination with respect to his substance abuse issue within thirty (30) days (by July 16, 2012) after the effective date of the Disciplinary Order.
- 10. Respondent failed to submit proof to the Office of Probation with the required quarterly reports, that he complied with the condition that he commence treatment as recommended by the examining practitioner no later than thirty (30) (by August 15, 2012) days after the required mental and physical examination.
- 11. Respondent failed to submit to the Office of Probation proof that he was in compliance with the treatment/monitoring plan recommended by the examining practitioner with his quarterly reports due on October 10, 2012, January 10, 2013 and April 10, 2013.
- 12. By failing to declare under penalty of perjury his compliance with the terms and conditions of his underlying criminal probation in his quarterly reports due on October 10, 2012, January 10, 2013, and April 10, 2013; by failing to submit to the Office of Probation proof of completion of the mental and physical examination by the July 16, 2012 deadline or at any time; by failing to submit proof to the Office of Probation of commencement of the recommended treatment by the August 15, 2012 deadline or at any time; and, by failing to submit to the Office of Probation proof of compliance with the recommended treatment program in Respondent's quarterly reports due on October 10, 2012, January 10, 2013, and April 10, 2013, Respondent failed to comply with all conditions attached to any disciplinary probation in willful violation of Business and Professions Code section 6068(k).

NOTICE - INACTIVE ENROLLMENT!

YOU ARE HEREBY FURTHER NOTIFIED THAT IF THE STATE BAR COURT FINDS, PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6007(c), THAT YOUR CONDUCT POSES A SUBSTANTIAL THREAT OF HARM TO THE INTERESTS OF YOUR CLIENTS OR TO THE PUBLIC, YOU MAY BE INVOLUNTARILY ENROLLED AS AN INACTIVE MEMBER OF THE STATE BAR. YOUR INACTIVE ENROLLMENT WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO ANY DISCIPLINE RECOMMENDED BY THE COURT.

NOTICE - COST ASSESSMENT!

IN THE EVENT THESE PROCEDURES RESULT IN PUBLIC DISCIPLINE, YOU MAY BE SUBJECT TO THE PAYMENT OF COSTS INCURRED BY THE STATE BAR IN THE INVESTIGATION, HEARING AND REVIEW OF THIS MATTER PURSUANT TO BUSINESS AND PROFESSIONS CODE SECTION 6086.10.

Respectfully submitted,

THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA OFFICE OF THE CHIEF TRIAL COUNSEL

DATED: June 12, 2013	By: Adriana M.	Burger
	ADRIANA M. BURGER	0

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

by
U.S. FIRST-CLASS MAIL / U.S. CERTIFIED MAIL / OVERNIGHT DELIVERY / FACSIMILE-ELECTRONIC TRANSMISSION

CASE NUMBER(s): 13-O-10654

	ne age of eighteen (18) years and not a party to the wit Angeles, California 90015, declare that:	hin action, whose business address	s and place of employment is the State Bar of	
on the date shown below, I caused to be served a true copy of the within document described as follows:				
386454141541414445000000000000000000000000	NOTICE OF DISCIPL	INARY CHARGES		
By U.S. First-Class Mail: (CCP §§ 1013 and 1013(a)) in accordance with the practice of the State Bar of California for collection and processing of mail, I deposited or placed for collection and mailing in the City and County of Los Angeles. By Overnight Delivery: (CCP §§ 1013(c) and 1013(d)) I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for overlight delivery by the United Parcel Service (UPS'). By Fax Transmission: (CCP §§ 1013(e) and 1013(f)) Based on agreement of the parties to accept service by fax transmission is retained on file and available upon request. By Electronic Service: (CCP § 1010.6) Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept service by electronic transmission, I caused the documents to be sent to the person(s_ at the electronic addresses listed herein below. I did not receive, within a reasonable time after the transmission, any electronic message or other indication that the transmission was unsuccessful.				
(for overnight Delivery) together with a copy of this declaration, in an envelope, or package designated by UPS, Tracking No.: addressed to: (see below)				
Person Served	Business-Residential Address	Fax Number	Courtesy Copy to:	
Michael Patrick Ridley	903 W. 17 th Street Costa Mesa, CA 92627	Electronic Address	mridley@octechlaw.com 1275 Fruit Avenue Santa Ana, CA 92701	
via inter-office mail regularly processed and maintained by the State Bar of California addressed to: N/A				
I am readily familiar with the State Bar of California's practice for collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service, and overnight delivery by the United Parcel Service ('UPS'). In the ordinary course of the State Bar of California's practice, correspondence collected and processed by the State Bar of California would be deposited with the United States Postal Service that same day, and for overnight delivery, deposited with delivery fees paid or provided for, with UPS that same day.				
I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date on the envelope or package is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing contained in the affidavit.				
I declare under penalty of California, on the date shown below	perjury, under the laws of the State of California v.	, that the foregoing is true and d	correct. Executed at Los Angeles,	
DATED: June 12, 2013	SIGNEI	JULI FINNILA Declarant	4-0	